MARTIN & FERRARO, LLP 1925 Century Park East - 17th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone (310) 286-9800

Facsimile (310) 286-2795

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

TO:

FROM:

Name:

Examiner B. Snow

Name:

Amedeo F. Ferraro, Esq.

Firm:

Patent & Trademark Office

Phone No.: 310-286-9800

Fax No.: 703-872-9302

Date:

April 8, 2003

Subject:

USSN: 09/921,844, filed Aug. 3, 2001

Applicant: Gary K. Michelson

For: SPINAL IMPLANT SURFACE

CONFIGURATION

Our Ref: 101.0084-01000 Customer No. 22882

Confirmation Copy to Follow: No

No. of Pages (including this):

Message:

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that the attached Reply to Office Communication is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 8, 2003.

If there is a problem with this transmission please call Anita Chou at 310-286-9800 or the sender at the number above.

The information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the addressee listed above. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver this message to the intended recipient, please do not use this transmission in any way, but contact the sender by telephone.

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 101.0084-01000 Customer No. 22882

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
)
Gary K. Michelson, M.D.
)
Serial No.: 09/921,844
) Group Art Unit: 3738

Filed: August 3, 2001 Examiner: B. Snow

For: SPINAL IMPLANT SURFACE)
CONFIGURATION)

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

1

REPLY TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION

In response to the Office Communication dated March 12, 2003 and further to the Reply to Restriction Requirement dated January 28, 2003, the following remarks are submitted:

REMARKS

In the Office Communication of March 12, 2003, the Examiner objected to Applicant's Reply to Restriction Requirement filed January 28, 2003 (the "January reply") as not being fully responsive to the prior action. The Examiner stated that Applicant did not specifically point out the support for each individual new claim for newly added claims 207-254. Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's contention and submits that the January reply was fully responsive.

In the January reply, Applicant provided support for all newly added claims to facilitate the Examiner's review of the claims. Specifically, Applicant stated:

Support for dependent claims 207-209, 213-215, and 255 is found at least in the

Reply to Office Communication 4-8-03